

Questions

Emeralda-Applicant

1. What edition of the 1944 Chicago Convention on Civil Aviation are you employing?
2. Argument 1.ii.1 & 2- Do the Objective Territorial Principle or Passive Personality Principle apply to non-state entities, and if so, which one(s)? (agents of the state, civilians, MNCs, IGOs, NGOs etc.)
3. Argument 1.III.i-Is the state of Mazonia liable for not making a concerted effort to crash land in an airport or landing facility?
4. Why did Emeraldian counsel not address the issue of Emeraldalda's duty to return the Mazonian nationals?
5. How was the 1979 Moon Agreement used in the argument of the case?
6. How is the crash landing of the Skyhunter NSV in Emeraldalda's territorial waters a violation of Emeraldian sovereignty?

Mazonia-Respondent

1. Part VII.C.1-What is the logical/factual basis for the statement "we believe it intended to include them in a similar manner to IGOs . . ."?
2. Why is the 9th edition the 1944 Chicago Convention on Civil Aviation the one to use?
3. Part VII.D-Why is their distress relevant to the violation of state sovereignty?
4. Part VII.B-Is it your view that a state must have evidence the two men's culpability for the tragedy before making an arrest?
 - a. And if trying to prove it-then provide evidence for the claim
5. Part VII.C.1-Is the aim of your argument to prove that SkyQuest is liable for the tragedy?

General-for both teams

1. Provide access/internet links to the outside sources used in the memorials
 - a. Emeraldalda
 - i. UN Charter
 - ii. International Court of Justice Statutes
 - iii. 1979 Moon Agreement
 - iv. The S.S. Lotus Case (France v. Turkey)
 - b. Mazonia
 - i. Laws of the Seas Convention
2. Which Law of the Seas Convention is used?
3. Why is the Law of the Seas Convention used?