

The Structure of Galois Algebras

George Szeto

Department of Mathematics, Bradley University
Peoria, Illinois 61625 – U.S.A.
Email: szeto@hilltop.bradley.edu

and

Lianyong Xue

Department of Mathematics, Bradley University
Peoria, Illinois 61625 – U.S.A.
Email: lxue@hilltop.bradley.edu

Let B be a ring with 1 and G an automorphism group of B of order n for some integer n . It is shown that if B is a Galois algebra with Galois group G , then B is either a direct sum of central Galois algebras or a direct sum of central Galois algebras and a commutative Galois algebra. Moreover, when G is inner, B is either a direct sum of Azumaya projective group algebras or a direct sum of Azumaya projective group algebras and a commutative Galois algebra. Examples are given for these structures.

1. INTRODUCTION

T. Kanzaki ([6]), M. Harada ([4]), and F. R. DeMeyer ([3]) investigated Galois algebras. K. Sugano ([7]) studied the type of Galois H -separable extensions, and several other types of Galois Extensions were recently discussed ([1, 7, 8, 9, 10]). In [6], many interesting properties were found. It was shown that if B is a Galois algebra over a commutative

ring R with Galois group G , then $B = \bigoplus \sum_{g \in G} J_g$ where $J_g = \{b \in B \mid bx = g(x)b \text{ for all } x \in B\}$ ([6, Theorem 1]), $J_g J_h = I_g J_{gh}$ where $I_g = BJ_g \cap C$ and C the center of B , $I_g^2 = I_g$, $I_g J_g = J_g$ ([6, Proposition 2]), and $J_g J_{g^{-1}} = e_g C$ for some idempotent e_g in C ([6, Theorem 2]). In particular, if B is a central Galois algebra, then $J_g J_h = J_{gh}$ and $I_g = C$ ([6, Corollary 1]), and its converse was also shown by M. Harada ([4, Theorem 1]): if $B = \bigoplus \sum_{g \in G} J_g$ such that $J_g J_{g^{-1}} = C$ and B is a separable R -algebra, then B is a central Galois algebra. The purpose of the present paper is to show the following structure theorem for a Galois algebra over R : if B is a Galois algebra over R with Galois group G , then there exist orthogonal idempotents $\{e_i \in C \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m \text{ for some integer } m\}$ and some subgroups H_i of G such that Be_i is a central Galois algebra with Galois group H_i for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $B = \bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^m Be_i$ or $B = (\bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^m Be_i) \oplus A$ for some commutative Galois algebra A with Galois group $G|_A \cong G$. When G is inner, B is either a direct sum of Azumaya projective group algebras as defined by F. R. DeMeyer ([3, Theorem 6]) or a direct sum of Azumaya projective group algebras and a commutative Galois algebra. This paper was revised under the suggestions of the referee and written under the support of a Caterpillar Fellowship at Bradley University. We would like to thank the referee for the valuable suggestions and Caterpillar Inc. for the support.

2. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS

Throughout this paper, B will represent a ring with 1, G an automorphism group of B of order n for some integer n , C the center of B , and B^G the set of elements in B fixed under each element in G . We denote $J_g = \{b \in B \mid bx = g(x)b \text{ for all } x \in B\}$ and $I_g = BJ_g \cap C$ for each $g \in G$.

Let A be a subring of a ring B with the same identity 1. We call B a separable extension of A if there exist $\{a_i, b_i \text{ in } B, i = 1, 2, \dots, m \text{ for some integer } m\}$ such that $\sum a_i b_i = 1$, and $\sum ba_i \otimes b_i = \sum a_i \otimes b_i b$ for all b in B where \otimes is over A . An Azumaya

algebra is a separable extension of its center. B is called a Galois extension of B^G with Galois group G if there exist elements $\{a_i, b_i$ in B , $i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ for some integer m such that $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i g(b_i) = \delta_{1,g}$ for each $g \in G$. Such a set $\{a_i, b_i\}$ is called a G -Galois system for B . B is called a Galois algebra over R if B is a Galois extension of R which is contained in C , and B is called a central Galois extension if B is a Galois extension of C . A ring B is called a H -separable extension of A if $B \otimes_A B$ is isomorphic to a direct summand of a finite direct sum of B as a B -bimodule, and B is called a Galois H -separable extension if it is a Galois and a H -separable extension of B^G (see [7]).

3. THE STRUCTURE THEOREM

In this section, we shall show a structure theorem for a Galois algebra B over R with Galois group G . We begin with some properties of the C -module J_g for $g \in G$.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let B be a Galois algebra over R with Galois group G , then*

(1) $B(J_g J_h) = B(J_h J_g)$ for all $g, h \in G$.

(2) $B J_g^2 = B J_g$ for all $g \in G$.

Proof. (1) Since B is a Galois algebra over R , $R \subset C$ and B is a separable extension of R . Hence B is an Azumaya C -algebra ([2, Theorem 3.8, page 55]). Noting that $B J_g$ is an ideal of B and $I_g = B J_g \cap C$ is an ideal of C , we have that $B J_g = B I_g$ ([2, Corollary 3.7, page 54]). Hence $B(J_g J_h) = (B J_g)(B J_h) = (B I_g)(B I_h) = (B I_h)(B I_g) = (B J_h)(B J_g) = B(J_h J_g)$.

(2) By Proposition 2 in [6], $I_g^2 = I_g$ for all $g \in G$. Hence $B J_g^2 = (B J_g)(B J_g) = (B I_g)(B I_g) = B I_g^2 = B I_g = B J_g$ for all $g \in G$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let B be a Galois algebra over R with Galois group G and H is a subgroup of G , then*

(1) $B(\prod_{h \in H} J_h)$ is invariant under H , that is, $g(B(\prod_{h \in H} J_h)) = B(\prod_{h \in H} J_h)$ for each $g \in H$.

(2) $B(\prod_{h \in H} J_h) = Be$ for some idempotent e in C .

(3) If $\prod_{h \in H} J_h \neq \{0\}$, $H|_{Be} \cong H$.

Proof. (1) It is easy to check that $g(J_h) = J_{ghg^{-1}}$ for all $g, h \in G$, so $g(B\prod_{h \in H} J_h) = B(\prod_{h \in H} J_{ghg^{-1}})$. But $\{ghg^{-1} | h \in H\} = H$ for each $g \in H$. So $g(B(\prod_{h \in H} J_h)) = B(\prod_{h \in H} J_h)$ for each $g \in H$ by Lemma 3.1.

(2) By Theorem 2 in [6], $J_h J_{h^{-1}} = e_h C$ for some idempotent e_h in C . So by Lemma 3.1, $B(\prod_{h \in H} J_h) = B(\prod_{h \in H} J_h^2) = B(\prod_{h \in H} J_h J_{h^{-1}}) = B(\prod_{h \in H} e_h)$. Noting $\prod_{h \in H} e_h$ by e , we have $B(\prod_{h \in H} J_h) = Be$ where e is an idempotent in C .

(3) By (1) $B(\prod_{h \in H} J_h)$ is invariant under H and by (2) $B(\prod_{h \in H} J_h) = Be$, so for $h \in H$, $h(e) = e$ (for e is the identity of Be). Since B is a Galois algebra over R with Galois group G , there exists a G -Galois system $\{a_i, b_i$ in B , $i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ for some integer m such that $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i g(b_i) = \delta_{1,g}$ for each $g \in G$. Hence $e \sum_{i=1}^m a_i h(b_i) = e\delta_{1,h}$; and $\sum_{i=1}^m (ea_i)h(eb_i) = e\delta_{1,h}$ for each $h \in H$. Therefore, $e = \sum_{i=1}^m (ea_i)(h(eb_i) - (eb_i))$ for each $h \neq 1$ in H . Now by hypothesis, $\prod_{h \in H} J_h \neq \{0\}$, so $e \neq 0$. This implies that $h|_{Be} \neq 1$ whenever $h \neq 1$ in H . Thus, $H|_{Be} \cong H$.

LEMMA 3.3. *Let B be a Galois algebra over R with Galois group G , H a subgroup of G , e the same as in Lemma 3.2, and $J'_h = \{b \in Be | bx = h(x)b \text{ for all } x \in Be\}$ for each $h \in H \cong H|_{Be}$. Then, $J'_h = eJ_h$ for each $h \in H \cong H|_{Be}$.*

Proof. It is clear that $eJ_h \subset J'_h$. Conversely, for any $b \in J'_h$, $b = eb$ and $bx = h(x)b$ for each $x \in Be$. Hence for any $y \in B$, $by = (eb)y = b(ye) = h(ye)b = h(y)eb = h(y)b$. Therefore, $b \in J_h$. So, $b = eb \in eJ_h$. Thus, $J'_h = eJ_h$.

Next are two ‘‘local’’ structure theorems for $B(\prod_{h \in H} J_h)$ where H is a subgroup of G such that $\prod_{h \in H} J_h \neq \{0\}$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let B be a Galois algebra over R with Galois group G . If H is a subgroup of G such that $\prod_{h \in H} J_h \neq \{0\}$, then $B(\prod_{h \in H} J_h) (= Be)$ is a Galois H -separable extension with Galois group $H|_{Be} \cong H$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.2-(2), $B(\prod_{h \in H} J_h) = Be$ for some idempotent e in C , and by the proof of Lemma 3.2-(1) and (3), $\sum_{i=1}^m (ea_i)h(eb_i) = e\delta_{1,h}$ for each $h \in H \cong H|_{Be}$. Hence Be is a Galois extension with Galois group $H|_{Be} \cong H$. Moreover, by Theorem 2 in [6], $J_h J_{h^{-1}} = e_h C$ for some idempotent e_h in C and $e_h e = e$ by the proof of Lemma 3.2. Therefore, by Lemma 3.3, $J'_h J'_{h^{-1}} = (eJ_h)(eJ_{h^{-1}}) = eJ_h J_{h^{-1}} = ee_h C = eC$ which is the center of Be . Thus, Be is a Galois H -separable extension with Galois group $H|_{Be} \cong H$ ([7, Theorem 2]).

With the maximality property of the subset H of G such that $\prod_{h \in H} J_h \neq \{0\}$, we show that H is indeed a subgroup of G and that $B(\prod_{h \in H} J_h)$ becomes a central Galois algebra, a stronger Galois extension than the Galois H -separable extension as given in Theorem 3.4.

LEMMA 3.5. *Let B be a Galois algebra over R with Galois group G and H a maximal subset of G such that $\prod_{h \in H} J_h \neq \{0\}$. Then H is a subgroup of G .*

Proof. For any $g, h \in H$, we claim that $gh \in H$. In fact, suppose that $gh \notin H$. Then $(\prod_{h \in H} J_h)J_{gh} = \{0\}$ by the maximality property of H . Hence $B(\prod_{h \in H} J_h)J_{gh} = \{0\}$. Since $g, h \in H$ and $BJ_h^2 = BJ_h$ for all $h \in H$ by Lemma 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \{0\} &= B(\prod_{h \in H} J_h)J_{gh} = B(\prod_{h \in H} J_h)(J_g J_h)J_{gh} \\ &= B(\prod_{h \in H} J_h)(I_g J_{gh})J_{gh} \quad ([6, \text{Proposition 2}]) \\ &= B(\prod_{h \in H} J_h)(I_g J_{gh}) = B(\prod_{h \in H} J_h)(J_g J_h) = B(\prod_{h \in H} J_h) \\ &\neq \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. Thus, $gh \in H$. But then H is a subgroup of G for G is finite.

Theorem 3.6. *Let B be a Galois algebra over R with Galois group G . If H is a maximal subset of G such that $\prod_{h \in H} J_h \neq \{0\}$, then H is a subgroup of G and $B(\prod_{h \in H} J_h)(= Be)$ is a central Galois algebra with Galois group $H|_{Be} \cong H$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.5, H is a subgroup of G . Since B is a Galois algebra over R with Galois group G , $B = \bigoplus_{g \in G} J_g$. Hence $Be = \bigoplus_{g \in G} eJ_g = (\bigoplus_{h \in H} eJ_h) \oplus (\bigoplus_{g \notin H} eJ_g)$. by Lemma 3.3, $J'_h = eJ_h$ for each $h \in H \cong H|_{Be}$. By the maximality property of H , $BeJ_g = B(\prod_{h \in H} J_h)J_g = \{0\}$ for each $g \notin H$. Hence $eJ_g = \{0\}$ for each $g \notin H$. Thus, $Be = \bigoplus_{h \in H} J'_h$. Also, $J'_h J'_{h^{-1}} = (eJ_h)(eJ_{h^{-1}}) = eJ_h J_{h^{-1}} = eC$ which is the center of Be . Moreover, B is a Galois R -algebra, so it is a separable R -algebra. Thus, Be is a separable algebra over Re ([2, Proposition 1.11, page 46]). Therefore, Be is a central Galois algebra over Ce ([4, Theorem 1]).

To obtain a structure theorem for a Galois algebra, we need a lemma.

LEMMA 3.7. *Let B be a Galois algebra over R with Galois group G and e a nonzero idempotent in C^G . Then Be is a Galois algebra over Re with Galois group $G|_{Be} \cong G$.*

Proof. Since $e \in C^G$, Be is invariant under G . By hypothesis, B is a Galois algebra over R with Galois group G , so there exists a G -Galois system for B $\{a_i, b_i$ in B , $i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ for some integer m such that $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i g(b_i) = \delta_{1,g}$ for each $g \in G$. Hence $e \sum_{i=1}^m a_i g(b_i) = e\delta_{1,g}$; and $\sum_{i=1}^m (a_i e)g(b_i e) = e\delta_{1,g}$ for each $g \in G$. Therefore, $\{a_i e, b_i e$ in Be , $i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ is a G -Galois system for Be and $e = \sum_{i=1}^m (a_i e)(g(b_i e) - b_i e)$ for each $g \neq 1$ in G . But $e \neq 0$, so $g|_{Be} \neq 1$ whenever $g \neq 1$ in G . Thus, Be is a Galois algebra over Re with Galois group $G|_{Be} \cong G$.

THEOREM 3.8. *Let B be a Galois algebra over R with Galois group G . Then there are orthogonal idempotents $\{e_i | i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ for some integer $m\}$ in C and subgroups H_i*

of G such that Be_i is a central Galois algebra with Galois group H_i for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $B = \bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^m Be_i$ or $B = (\bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^m Be_i) \oplus Ce$ where $e = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^m e_i$ and $Ce = Be$ is a commutative Galois algebra with Galois group $G|_{Ce} \cong G$.

Proof. Let H_1 be a maximal subset of G such that $\prod_{h \in H_1} J_h \neq \{0\}$. Then H_1 is a subgroup of G by Lemma 3.5. By Theorem 3.6, there exists an idempotent e_1 in C such that $B(\prod_{h \in H_1} J_h)(= Be_1)$ is a central Galois algebra over Ce_1 with Galois group $H_1|_{Be_1} \cong H_1$. Let $H_1, H_2 = g_2 H_1 g_2^{-1}, \dots, H_k = g_k H_1 g_k^{-1}$ be all the distinct conjugates of H_1 in G for some $g_i \in G$ (if H_1 is a normal subgroup of G , $k = 1$ and $g_1 = 1$). Since for each $i = 2, 3, \dots, k$, $\prod_{h_i \in H_i} J_{h_i} = \prod_{h \in H_1} J_{g_i h g_i^{-1}} = g_i(\prod_{h \in H_1} J_h)$, H_i is also a maximal subset (subgroup) of G such that $\prod_{h_i \in H_i} J_{h_i} \neq \{0\}$ by the maximality property of H_1 . Hence, by Theorem 3.6 again, for each $i = 2, 3, \dots, k$, there exists an idempotent e_i in C such that $B(\prod_{h_i \in H_i} J_{h_i})(= Be_i)$ is a central Galois algebra over Ce_i with Galois group $H_i|_{Be_i} \cong H_i$. Moreover, $(Be_i)(Be_j) = B(\prod_{h_i \in H_i} J_{h_i})B(\prod_{h_j \in H_j} J_{h_j}) = Be_i \delta_{ij}$ by Lemma 3.1 and the maximality property of H_i and H_j ; and so e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k are orthogonal. Thus, $B = (\bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^k Be_i) \oplus B(1 - \sum_{i=1}^k e_i)$. In case that $\sum_{i=1}^k e_i = 1$, we have $B = \bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^k Be_i$, and so we are done. In case that $\sum_{i=1}^k e_i \neq 1$, we have $1 - \sum_{i=1}^k e_i \neq 0$. Since $\{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_k\} = \{g_i H_1 g_i^{-1} \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$ are all the distinct conjugates of H_1 in G , $\{g H_1 g^{-1}, g H_2 g^{-1}, \dots, g H_k g^{-1}\} = \{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_k\}$ for any $g \in G$. Hence, that $g(B(\prod_{h_i \in H_i} J_{h_i})) = B(\prod_{h_i \in H_i} J_{g h_i g^{-1}})$ implies that $\{g(e_1), g(e_2), \dots, g(e_k)\} = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k\}$ for all $g \in G$. Hence, $g(1 - \sum_{i=1}^k e_i) = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^k e_i$ for all $g \in G$, and so $1 - \sum_{i=1}^k e_i$ a nonzero idempotent in C^G . Thus, by Lemma 3.7 $B(1 - \sum_{i=1}^k e_i)$ is a Galois algebra over $R(1 - \sum_{i=1}^k e_i)$ with Galois group $G|_{B(1 - \sum_{i=1}^k e_i)} \cong G$. Let $e = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^k e_i$. Then Be is a Galois algebra over Re with Galois group $G|_{Be} \cong G$. In case that $eJ_g = \{0\}$ for each $g \neq 1$ in G , we have that $Be = \bigoplus \sum_{g \in G} eJ_g = eJ_1 = eC$. Thus, Be is a commutative Galois algebra with Galois group $G|_{Be} \cong G$, and so we are done. In case that $eJ_g \neq \{0\}$ for some $g \neq 1$ in G , we can obtain a subgroup H_{k+1} and an idempotent e_{k+1} in C such that Be_{k+1} is a central Galois algebra with Galois group $H_{k+1}|_{Be_{k+1}} \cong H_{k+1}$. Let $E = \{e_g \mid g \in G \text{ and } J_g J_{g^{-1}} = e_g C\}$.

By the proof of Lemma 3.2-(2), each e_i is contained in the Boolean algebra generated by the elements in E which is finite. Consequently, in finite steps, we have orthogonal idempotents e_i in C and subgroups H_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ for some integer m such that Be_i is a central Galois algebra with Galois group H_i for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $B = \bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^m Be_i$ or $B = (\bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^m Be_i) \oplus Ce$ where $e = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^m e_i$ and Ce is a commutative Galois algebra with Galois group $G|_{Ce} \cong G$. This completes the proof.

If we further assume in Theorem 3.8 that $J_g \neq \{0\}$ for each $g \neq 1$ in G , then we can show that $G = \cup_{i=1}^m H_i$.

THEOREM 3.9. *Let B be a Galois algebra over R with Galois group G and $J_g \neq \{0\}$ for each $g \neq 1$ in G . Then there are orthogonal idempotents $\{e_i | i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ for some integer $m\}$ in C and subgroups H_i of G such that $G = \cup_{i=1}^m H_i$, Be_i is a central Galois algebra with Galois group H_i for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and $B = \bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^m Be_i$ or $B = (\bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^m Be_i) \oplus Ce$ where $e = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^m e_i$ and Ce is a commutative Galois algebra with Galois group $G|_{Ce} \cong G$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.8, there are orthogonal idempotents $\{e_i | i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ for some integer $m\}$ in C and subgroup H_i of G such that Be_i is a central Galois algebra over Ce_i with Galois group $H_i|_{Be_i} \cong H_i$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $B = \bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^m Be_i$ or $B = (\bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^m Be_i) \oplus Ce$ where $e = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^m e_i$ and Ce is a commutative Galois algebra with Galois group $G|_{Ce} \cong G$. Moreover, H_i 's are maximal subsets (subgroups) of G such that $\prod_{h \in H_i} J_h \neq \{0\}$. In case that $B = \bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^m Be_i$, for any $g \neq 1$ in G , $\{0\} \neq BJ_g = \bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^m Be_i J_g = \bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^m B(\prod_{h_i \in H_i} J_{h_i})J_g$. Hence, there is some H_i such that $(\prod_{h_i \in H_i} J_{h_i})J_g \neq \{0\}$. Therefore, g is contained in some H_i by the maximality property of H_i . Thus, $G = \cup_{i=1}^m H_i$. In case that $B = \bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^m Be_i \oplus Ce$, we have $eJ_1 = \bigoplus \sum_{g \in G} eJ_g$. Hence, $eJ_g = \{0\}$ for any $g \neq 1$ in G . Therefore, for any $g \neq 1$ in G ,

$\{0\} \neq BJ_g = (\oplus \sum_{i=1}^m Be_i J_g) \oplus CeJ_g = \oplus \sum_{i=1}^m Be_i J_g = \oplus \sum_{i=1}^m B(\prod_{h_i \in H_i} J_{h_i})J_g$. Thus, similar to the above argument, $G = \cup_{i=1}^m H_i$. This completes the proof.

In [3], let $f: G \times G \rightarrow U(R)$ be a factor set from $G \times G$ to the set of units of R , that is, $f(g, h)f(gh, k) = f(h, k)f(g, hk)$ for all g, h , and k in G . $RG_f = \sum_{g \in G} RU_g$ is called a projective group algebra over R if RG_f is an algebra with a free basis $\{U_g \mid g \in G\}$ over R where the multiplications are given by $(r_g U_g)(r_h U_h) = r_g r_h U_g U_h$ and $U_g U_h = f(g, h)U_{gh}$ for $r_g, r_h \in B$ and $g, h \in G$. It was shown that if B is a central Galois algebra over C with inner Galois group G , then B is an Azumaya projective group algebra over C ([3, Theorem 6]). We next generalize the above structure theorem for a central Galois algebra with an inner Galois group given by F. R. DeMeyer.

THEOREM 3.10. *If B is a Galois algebra over R with an inner Galois group G , then B is either a direct sum of Azumaya projective group algebras or a direct sum of Azumaya projective group algebras and a commutative Galois algebra.*

Proof. By Theorem 6 in [3], a central Galois algebra with an inner Galois group is an Azumaya projective group algebra, so this is a consequence of Theorem 3.8.

We conclude the present paper with two examples of a Galois algebra B which is not a central Galois algebra with Galois group G such that $J_g \neq \{0\}$ for some $g \in G$ and $J_h = \{0\}$ for some $h \in G$, and $B = \oplus \sum_{i=1}^m Be_i$ or $B = (\oplus \sum_{i=1}^m Be_i) \oplus Ce$ as given in Theorem 3.8.

EXAMPLE 1. Let $R[i, j, k]$ be the real quaternion algebra over R , $B = R[i, j, k] \oplus R[i, j, k]$, and $G = \{1, g_i, g_j, g_k, g, gg_i, gg_j, gg_k\}$ where $g_i(a_1, a_2) = (ia_1 i^{-1}, ia_2 i^{-1})$, $g_j(a_1, a_2) = (ja_1 j^{-1}, ja_2 j^{-1})$, $g_k(a_1, a_2) = (ka_1 k^{-1}, ka_2 k^{-1})$, and $g(a_1, a_2) = (a_2, a_1)$ for all (a_1, a_2) in B . Then,

(1) B is a Galois extension with a G -Galois system: $\{a_1 = (1, 0), a_2 = (i, 0), a_3 = (j, 0), a_4 = (k, 0), a_5 = (0, 1), a_6 = (0, i), a_7 = (0, j), a_8 = (0, k); b_1 = \frac{1}{4}(1, 0), b_2 = -\frac{1}{4}(i, 0), b_3 = -\frac{1}{4}(j, 0), b_4 = -\frac{1}{4}(k, 0), b_5 = \frac{1}{4}(0, 1), b_6 = -\frac{1}{4}(0, i), b_7 = -\frac{1}{4}(0, j), b_8 = -\frac{1}{4}(0, k)\}$.

(2) $B^G = \{(r, r) \mid r \in R\} \cong R$.

(3) By (1) and (2) B is a Galois algebra over R with Galois group G .

(4) $C = R \oplus R$.

(5) By (3) and (4) B is not a central Galois algebra with Galois group G .

(6) $J_1 = C = R \oplus R, J_{g_i} = (Ri) \oplus (Ri), J_{g_j} = (Rj) \oplus (Rj), J_{g_k} = (Rk) \oplus (Rk),$ and $J_g = J_{gg_i} = J_{gg_j} = J_{gg_k} = \{0\}$.

(7) $H_1 = \{1, g_i, g_j, g_k\}$ is a maximal subset (subgroup) of G such that $\prod_{h \in H_1} J_h \neq \{0\}$, and $B(\prod_{h \in H_1} J_h) (= Be_1 = B)$ is a central Galois algebra over C with Galois group $H_1|_{Be_1} \cong H_1$, and so $B = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m Be_i$ where $m = 1$ and Be_i is a central Galois algebra over Ce_i with Galois group $H_i|_{Be_i} \cong H_i$ for each i . But $\cup_{i=1}^m H_i = H_1 \neq G$.

EXAMPLE 2. Let $R[i, j, k]$ be the real quaternion algebra over R , D the field of complex numbers, $B = R[i, j, k] \oplus (D \otimes_R D)$, and $G = \{1, g_i, g_j, g_k\}$ where $g_i(a, d_1 \otimes d_2) = (iai^{-1}, \bar{d}_1 \otimes d_2)$, $g_j(a, d_1 \otimes d_2) = (jaj^{-1}, d_1 \otimes \bar{d}_2)$, and $g_k(a, d_1 \otimes d_2) = (kak^{-1}, \bar{d}_1 \otimes \bar{d}_2)$ for all $(a, d_1 \otimes d_2)$ in B , where \bar{d} is the conjugate of the complex number d . Then,

(1) B is a Galois extension with a G -Galois system: $\{a_1 = (1, 0), a_2 = (i, 0), a_3 = (j, 0), a_4 = (k, 0), a_5 = (0, 1 \otimes 1), a_6 = (0, \sqrt{-1} \otimes 1), a_7 = (0, 1 \otimes \sqrt{-1}), a_8 = (0, \sqrt{-1} \otimes \sqrt{-1}); b_1 = \frac{1}{4}(1, 0), b_2 = -\frac{1}{4}(i, 0), b_3 = -\frac{1}{4}(j, 0), b_4 = -\frac{1}{4}(k, 0), b_5 = \frac{1}{4}(0, 1 \otimes 1), b_6 = -\frac{1}{4}(0, \sqrt{-1} \otimes 1), b_7 = -\frac{1}{4}(0, 1 \otimes \sqrt{-1}), b_8 = \frac{1}{4}(0, \sqrt{-1} \otimes \sqrt{-1})\}$.

(2) $B^G = R \oplus (R \otimes R) \cong R \oplus R$.

(3) By (1) and (2) B is a Galois algebra over $R \oplus R$ with Galois group G .

(4) $C = R \oplus (D \otimes_R D)$.

(5) By (3) and (4) B is not a central Galois algebra with Galois group G .

(6) $J_1 = C = R \oplus (D \otimes_R D)$, $J_{g_i} = R(i, 0)$, $J_{g_j} = R(j, 0)$, $J_{g_k} = R(k, 0)$.

(7) $H_1 = \{1, g_i, g_j, g_k\} = G$ is a maximal subset (subgroup) of G such that $\prod_{h \in H_1} J_h \neq \{0\}$, and $B(\prod_{h \in H_1} J_h)(= B(1, 0) = R[i, j, k])$ is a central Galois algebra over $C(1, 0)(= R)$ with Galois group $H_1|_{B(1,0)} \cong H_1$. Let $e_1 = (1, 0)$ and $e = (0, 1 \otimes 1)$. Then $B = (\oplus \sum_{i=1}^m B e_i) \oplus C e$ where $m = 1$, $B e_i$ is a central Galois algebra over $C e_i$ with Galois group $H_i|_{B e_i} \cong H_i$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $C e = B e$ is a commutative Galois algebra with Galois group $G|_{C e} \cong G$.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Alfaro and G. Szeto, On Galois Extensions of an Azumaya Algebra, *Comm. in Algebra*, **25(6)**(1997) 1873-1882.
- [2] F.R. DeMeyer and E. Ingraham, "Separable algebras over commutative rings", Volume 181, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1971.
- [3] F.R. DeMeyer, Some Notes on the General Galois Theory of Rings, *Osaka J. Math.*, **2**(1965) 117-127.
- [4] M. Harada, Supplementary Results on Galois Extension, *Osaka J. Math.*, **2**(1965), 343-350.
- [5] S. Ikehata and G. Szeto: On H -skew polynomial rings and Galois extensions, "Rings, Extension and Cohomology" 113-121, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 159, Dekker, New York, 1994.
- [6] T. Kanzaki, On Galois Algebra Over A Commutative Ring, *Osaka J. Math.*, **2**(1965), 309-317.
- [7] K. Sugano, On a Special Type of Galois Extensions, *Hokkaido J. Math.*, **9**(1980) 123-128.

- [8] G. Szeto and L. Ma, On center Galois extensions over rings, *Glasnik Matematicki*, **24**(1989), 11-16.
- [9] G. Szeto and L. Xue, On Three types of Galois Extensions of rings, *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics*, **23**(1999) 731-736.
- [10] G. Szeto and L. Xue, On Characterizations of a Center Galois Extension, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, Vol. 23, No. 11(2000) 753-758.